

Building Our Crowd

From Individual Intelligence to Collective Wisdom

August 28, 2025

Today's Mission

Meet people + Think critically about task design

Agenda

1. **Network Building** (25 min)
2. **Task Analysis** (30 min)
3. **Platform Experiments** (25 min)
4. **Worker Preview** (10 min)

Part 1

Speed Networking

25 minutes



OPEN SLI.DO NOW

Event Code: 1776

Keep it open all class

Note: We'll use this for polls and tracking throughout.



How many years
have **you** been
programming?

How many **total**
years of programming
in this room?

How This Works

- 3 rounds
- 8 minutes each
- New partner each time
- Specific prompts

Goal: **3+ connections**

Round 1

Crowdsourcing Ourselves

Round 1 Instructions

Find someone new!

Exchange:

- Name & major
- Programming experience (1-10)
- Number of years programming
- One crowd platform you use

Note: 4 minutes for introductions.

Round 1 Task

Together, estimate:

"Total years of programming experience in this room?"

Write it down!



POLL #1

Submit your pair's estimate

Total programming years



30 seconds to find someone new

Round 2

Human vs Machine

Round 2 Task

Each person shares:

1. Task humans ALWAYS beat AI
2. Task you thought was human-only... until recently

Round 2 Creation

Together, invent:

One hybrid human-AI task (Better than either alone)



POLL #2

Submit your hybrid task idea

One sentence

Each partner submits their own version of the sentence

SWITCH AGAIN!

Find partner #3

Note: Last round coming up.

Round 3

When Crowds Fail

Round 3 Share

Each tell a story:

"A failed crowd decision I was part of"

Which wisdom requirement broke?

1. **Independence**
2. **Aggregation Method**
3. **Knowledge Distribution**
4. **Incentive Alignment**
5. **Verifiability**

Round 3 Solution

Together decide:

What intervention could have prevented the failure?

Let's Check Our Wisdom



REVEAL POLL #1

How close were we?

Part 2

Task Analysis Workshop

30 minutes

We are now going to apply Surowiecki's framework to evaluate crowdsourcing tasks from last class.

The Five Requirements

1. Independence
2. Aggregation Method
3. Knowledge Distribution
4. Incentive Alignment
5. Verifiability

Independence

- ✓ People answer without influence
- ✗ Answers depend on others

Aggregation

- ✓ Clear combination method (average, vote, count)
- ✗ No way to synthesize

Knowledge Distribution

✓ Many people have pieces

✗ Only experts know

Incentive Alignment

- ✓ Motivated to be honest
- ✗ Reasons to game system

Verifiability

- ✓ Can check if crowd was right
- ✗ Pure opinion, no validation

Test Case #1

"Guess secret number 1-100"

Seems good?

POLL QUESTION

The Fatal Flaw

No information to aggregate!

- Jellybeans in jar: Visual cues 
- Secret number: Pure randomness 

Average → 50.5

Test Case #2

"Worst health violations in Philly?"

What could go wrong?

POLL QUESTION

Incentive Failure

- Competitors sabotage
- Owners mobilize friends
- Angry customers revenge-post

Knowledge exists, but incentives corrupt

Your Turn

Pick one task to evaluate from
crowdsourced list earlier this week

<https://pastebin.com/aUdY8QMp>



Solo Scoring

3 minutes

Requirement	Score
Independence	_/5
Aggregation	_/5
Knowledge	_/5
Incentives	_/5
Verifiable	_/5

Be honest. Most tasks fail somewhere. That's to be expected!

Compare with Partner

5 minutes

- Share scores
- Which task is better?
- What's the biggest weakness?



POLL #3

Vote: Best task design?

One partner only submits the best task that the two partners have agreed on.

If it does not fulfill all 5 criteria, then explain what doesn't work.

Part 3

Platform Experiments

25 minutes

Note to self:

Switch to old slides for this part

You've Been Working for Free

Every CAPTCHA you solve trains AI

Evolution of reCAPTCHA

2000: **Prove you're human**

2007: **Digitize books**

2014: **Label images for cars**

2018: **Train AI behavior**

Live Game

Real or Fake Text?

<http://roft.io>

Can you spot AI writing?

Play Categories

- Short Stories
- News Articles
- Recipes
- Presidential Speeches

What patterns reveal AI?



POLL #4

How many AI texts did you catch?

- 0-2 (Fooled)
- 3-5 (50/50)
- 6-8 (Getting good)
- 9-10 (Beat the machine)

Platform Landscape

Type	Pay Model	Example
Microtask	Per task	Toloka
Innovation	Contest	Kaggle
Creative	Contest	99designs
Testing	Session	UserTesting

What Platforms Share

- ✓ Reputation systems
- ✓ Payment protection
- ✓ Dispute resolution
- ✓ Task templates
- ✓ Community forums

Part 4

Next Week Preview

10 minutes

Upcoming Assignment

Work 2+ hours on any platform:

- Clickworker, Toloka
- UserTesting
- Even Uber/DoorDash

What to Track

- Total time (including waiting)
- Money earned
- Tasks completed
- How you feel

Calculate true hourly wage

Bigham's Experience

CMU Professor tried crowd work:

"\$2.50 per hour"

"Marking nipple locations on photos"



My MTurk (half) Workday

Jeffrey P. Bigham

[@jeffbigham](https://twitter.com/jeffbigham)

7/8/2014

Last Tuesday, I spent 4 hours, half a work day, as a Mechanical Turk worker.

Over the past 6-7 years, I've spent thousands of dollars as a requester on Mechanical Turk. I've also done some small-scale turking myself. My lifetime earnings were only around \$25 as of the morning of. While not much money, this almost certainly puts me near the higher end of researchers who do work on Mechanical Turk.

Before You Leave



POLL #5

How many people did you meet?

For Next Week

Read 3+:

- Bigham's MTurk Workday

And maybe also (will email):

- Being A Turker
- Ghost Work excerpt

Big Question

"Is crowdsourcing fundamentally exploitative?"

Come ready to discuss

Today's Takeaways

1. Crowds need **structure**
2. **Incentives** make or break systems
3. You're **already** a crowd worker
4. **Task design** > platform choice
5. **Community** matters

See you next week!